ITS Polymorphisms Shed Light on Hybrid Evolution in Apomictic Plants: A Case Study on the Ranunculus auricomus Complex
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Abstract

The reconstruction of reticulate evolutionary histories in plants is still a major methodological challenge. Sequences of the ITS nrDNA are a popular marker to analyze hybrid relationships, but variation of this multicopy spacer region is affected by concerted evolution, high intraindividual polymorphism, and shifts in mode of reproduction. The relevance of changes in secondary structure is still under dispute. We aim to shed light on the extent of polymorphism within and between sexual species and their putative natural as well as synthetic hybrid derivatives in the Ranunculus auricomus complex to test morphology-based hypotheses of hybrid origin and parentage of taxa. We employed direct sequencing of ITS nrDNA from 68 individuals representing three sexuals, their synthetic hybrids and one sympatric natural apomict, as well as cloning of ITS copies in four representative individuals, RNA secondary structure analysis, and landmark geometric morphometric analysis on leaves. Phylogenetic network analyses indicate additivity of parental ITS variants in both synthetic and natural hybrids. The triploid synthetic hybrids are genetically much closer to their maternal progenitors, probably due to ploidy dosage effects, although exhibiting a paternal-like leaf morphology. The natural hybrids are genetically and morphologically closer to the putative paternal progenitor species. Secondary structures of ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 were both conserved in all taxa. The observed similarities in ITS polymorphisms suggest that the natural apomict \textit{R. variabilis} is an ancient hybrid of the diploid sexual species \textit{R. notabilis} and the sexual species \textit{R. cassubicifolius}. The additivity pattern shared by \textit{R. variabilis} and the synthetic hybrids supports an evolutionary and biogeographical scenario that \textit{R. variabilis} originated from ancient hybridization. Concerted evolution of ITS copies in \textit{R. variabilis} is incomplete, probably due to a shift to asexual reproduction. Under the condition of comprehensive inter- and intraspecific sampling, ITS polymorphisms are powerful for elucidating reticulate evolutionary histories.

Introduction

Hybridization and polyploidy are creative evolutionary forces in plant radiations, and most or perhaps all angiosperms are either polyploid or of ancient polyploid origin [1]. High morphological plasticity and large ecological amplitude of allopolyploids could be derived from an increased propensity for epigenetic variation following interspecific hybridization [2]. Rieseberg and collaborators [3] proposed that ancestral phenotypic and genomic traits of recent hybrids might be recognized by comparison with experimental hybrids. Hybrid speciation is facilitated in plants through subsequent polyploidization [4] and can be connected to a transition from sexuality to apomixis, i.e., asexual reproduction via seed [5]. Nonetheless, the reconstruction of hybrid relationships and parentage in natural systems is still a methodological challenge.

The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) of the nrDNA has been established as a standard molecular marker to infer generic and interspecific relationships in flowering plants [6–8] and to infer hybridization events in other eukaryotes including algae, heterotrophic protists, invertebrates and vertebrates [9–15]. ITS nrDNA has proved to be a helpful non-coding marker to infer hybridization events because of its biparental inheritance and its occurrence in hundreds to thousands of copies within a single genome [8,16,17]. The majority of systematic studies aim at reconstruction of phylogenetic relationships using ITS in combination with maternally inherited plastid marker (e.g., [18–23]). According to Song and collaborators [24], a genome of each plant individual contains 35 ITS variants on average. The homogenization of different progenitor ITS variants in sexual hybrids through concerted evolution is a well-documented phenomenon [25–27]. In apomictic plant lineages, the homogenizing effects of meiosis are lacking, and thus heterozygous ITS polymorphisms inherited from hybrid ancestry may persist over several generations [21,28–30]. Only few studies have analyzed ITS polymorphisms in apomictic hybrids [21,28,29,31,32]. The features of secondary structure of ITS in apomictic plants, however, are still unknown.
Apart from the lasting popularity of this marker, there is still a lively debate whether a direct link exists between ITS structural features like compensatory base changes (CBCs) and Ernst Mayr’s biological species concept [33–35]. CBCs are mutations that occur in a primary RNA transcript, whereby both nucleotides paired in the secondary configuration of the ITS transcript mutate so that their bond is retained (e.g., G-C mutates to A-U). A hemi-CBC (hCBC) is the mutation of one of the two nucleotides while maintaining the nucleotide bond. Non-compensatory base changes alter the secondary structure by disabling pairing within any of the helical regions (i.e., within the internal paired regions). According to [34], the occurrence of CBCs in ITS2 secondary structure between two sexual species is correlated to unsuccessful interspecific mating. This assumption is based solely on coincidence, i.e., similar rates of evolutionary changes affecting both the ITS sequences and the genes involved in sexual reproduction [33,36]. Hence, the appearance of a CBC between species could theoretically serve as a criterion for delimitation of sexual species. Reflecting the difficulty associated with multiple copies of the ITS within a genome, analysis of an extensive ITS2 dataset obtained by high-throughput sequencing in plants suggests that intragenomic CBCs are unlikely. Thus, CBCs can potentially serve as species-specific markers [24,34].

Despite the wide usage of the ITS region, only a few studies have discussed obvious difficulties regarding the presence of both functional and putative non-functional copies (e.g., [37–41]). The homogenization of rRNA genes through gene conversion prevents these from accumulating mutations [42]. The most frequently employed criteria to recognize putative non-functional ITS copies (also referred to as “pseudogenes”) are nucleotide substitutions in highly conserved motifs (especially within 5.8S sequence regions), occurrence of methylation-induced substitutions (G → T, G → A), decreased or varying GC content, incorrect secondary structure folding and incongruent phylogenetic placement of conspecific ribotypes [21,37]. For this reason, frequent occurrence of putative non-functional copies may be a reliable hint for the incompleteness or even suppression of concerted evolution of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 copies [21,39,43,44].

As in many other plant genera [45], hybridization and polyploidization have shaped the evolutionary history of buttercups (Ranunculus) [29,46–51]. The Euro-Siberian R. auricomus complex, which belongs to one clade classified as R. sect. Auricomus [51], comprises about 800 polyploid apomictic microspecies and only four sexual species [52]. Here we investigate three sexual species (R. carpaticola Soó, R. cassubicifolius W. Koch, R. notabilis Horandl and Guterm.) and one apomictic species (R. variabilis Horandl and Guterm.) from Central Europe. These species are taxonomically subdivided into two morphological collective groups: the auricomus group (=R. notabilis, R. variabilis) and the cassubicus group (=R. carpaticola, R. cassubicifolius) [53]. According to population genetic distances, these two groups separated about 0.9 Ma ago [54]. Autotetraploid R. cassubicifolius and diploid R. carpaticola are woodland species of the pre-Alps and Carpathians, respectively, whereas diploid R. notabilis is endemic in a small lowland area in southeastern Austria and occurs in woods and meadows; allotetraploid R. variabilis is widespread in lowlands of Austria, sympatric with R. notabilis and R. cassubicifolius, but colonizing mostly anthropogenic meadows (Figure 1). Allozyme studies and morphometrics based on leaves and fruit characters suggested that diploid R. notabilis (auricomus lowland group) is a putative progenitor of tetraploid R. variabilis [55]. Surprisingly, experimental crosses between 4x R. cassubicifolius and 2x R. notabilis, employing the latter as pollen donor, resulted in triploid synthetic hybrids that resembled R. variabilis in

Figure 1. Distribution of the analyzed populations of the Ranunculus auricomus complex. Dots represent sampled populations, the areas with the same color show the entire distribution of the respective taxon within Central Europe (after [49]). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103003.g001
Table 1. List of directly sequenced individuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>taxon</th>
<th>herbarium collection accession No.</th>
<th>population</th>
<th>location/GPS coordinates</th>
<th>ploidy level</th>
<th>sexual or apomictic</th>
<th>GenBank accession No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. notabilis</td>
<td>7223-6/-10</td>
<td>NOT2</td>
<td>AT, Stem/47’ 2’ 58.0’ N; 16’ 26’ 3.7’ E</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>sex(6)</td>
<td>KF671993-KF671994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. notabilis</td>
<td>5613-1/-2</td>
<td>NOT3</td>
<td>AT, Stem/47’ 2’ 58.0’ N; 16’ 26’ 3.7’ E</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>sex(6)</td>
<td>KF671996-KF671997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. notabilis</td>
<td>7224-15</td>
<td>NOT3</td>
<td>AT, Stem/47’ 0’ 56.0’ N; 16’ 26’ 38.5’ E</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>sex(6)</td>
<td>KF671995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. notabilis</td>
<td>9609-2/-5/-9</td>
<td>NOT3</td>
<td>AT, Stem/47’ 2’ 58.0’ N; 16’ 26’ 3.7’ E</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>sex(6)</td>
<td>KF671999-KF672001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. notabilis</td>
<td>5615</td>
<td>NOT4</td>
<td>AT, Stem/47’ 2’ 58.0’ N; 16’ 26’ 3.7’ E</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>sex(6)</td>
<td>KF671998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. variabilis</td>
<td>7221-13/-6/9</td>
<td>BURG2</td>
<td>AT, Stem/47’ 2’ 51.3’ N; 16’ 25’ 55.0’ E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>apo(6)</td>
<td>KF672008-KF672010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. variabilis</td>
<td>8210-5/-7/-22/-23/-25</td>
<td>BUCK1</td>
<td>AT, Spratzau/47’ 35’ 19.5’ N; 16’ 12’ 13.8’ E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>apo(6)</td>
<td>KF672002-KF672006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. variabilis</td>
<td>8212-23</td>
<td>BUCK2</td>
<td>AT, Spratzau/47’ 33’ 25.3’ N; 16’ 18’ 45.2’ E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>apo(6)</td>
<td>KF672007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. variabilis</td>
<td>8214-1/-2</td>
<td>WALD1</td>
<td>AT, Rosenburg/48’ 37’ 52.0’ N; 15’ 38’ 7.3’ E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>apo(6)</td>
<td>KF672017-KF672018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. variabilis</td>
<td>8215-13</td>
<td>WALD2</td>
<td>AT, Wegschied/48’ 36’ 25.1’ N; 15’ 28’ 59.9’ E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>apo(6)</td>
<td>KF672011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. variabilis</td>
<td>8216-26/-33</td>
<td>WALD3</td>
<td>AT, Zweit/48’ 36’ 42.7’ N; 15’ 10’ 2.6’ E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>apo(6)</td>
<td>KF672015-KF672016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. variabilis</td>
<td>8218-24</td>
<td>MUHL2</td>
<td>AT, Kefersmarkt/48’ 26’ 31.6’ N; 14’ 33’ 6.8’ E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>apo(6)</td>
<td>KF672012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. variabilis</td>
<td>8222-22/-25</td>
<td>MUHL3</td>
<td>AT, Reichenau/48’ 27’ 25.7’ N; 14’ 21’ 24.2’ E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>apo(6)</td>
<td>KF672013-KF672014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. cassubicifolius</td>
<td>8472-1/-3/-10/-19</td>
<td>YBB1</td>
<td>AT, Schwarzois/47’ 54’ 48.0’ N; 14’ 56’ 37.4’ E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>sex(3)</td>
<td>KF672026-KF672029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. cassubicifolius</td>
<td>8473-24/-25</td>
<td>YBB2</td>
<td>AT, Schwarzois/47’ 52’ 29.5’ N; 14’ 55’ 59.7’ E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>sex(6)</td>
<td>KF672030-KF672031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. cassubicifolius</td>
<td>A7, 17, 25, 35</td>
<td>8473&gt;8473</td>
<td>DE, Göttingen, experimental crossing</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>sex(5)</td>
<td>KF672032-KF672035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. carpatica</td>
<td>8483-1/-4/-5/-6/-10</td>
<td>REV1</td>
<td>SK, Revuca/48’ 41’ 30.9’ N; 20’ 7’ 34.9’ E</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>sex(5)</td>
<td>KF672019-KF672025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. carpatica</td>
<td>8486-2/-4</td>
<td>REV2</td>
<td>SK, Revuca/48’ 41’ 21.9’ N; 20’ 5’ 45.4’ E</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>sex(5)</td>
<td>KF672023-KF672024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. carpatica x notabilis</td>
<td>F2, 4, 7, 10, 90</td>
<td>8483&gt;7224</td>
<td>DE, Göttingen, experimental crossing</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>sex(5)</td>
<td>KF671968-KF671972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. carpatica x notabilis</td>
<td>J10, 20, 22, 25, 33, 35</td>
<td>8483&gt;7224</td>
<td>DE, Göttingen, experimental crossing</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>sex(5)</td>
<td>KF671987-KF671992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. cassubicifolius x notabilis</td>
<td>G1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 11, 15-20</td>
<td>8472&gt;7220</td>
<td>DE, Göttingen, experimental crossing</td>
<td>3x</td>
<td>sex(6)</td>
<td>KF671973-KF671984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. cassubicifolius x notabilis</td>
<td>H4, 5</td>
<td>8473&gt;7223</td>
<td>DE, Göttingen, experimental crossing</td>
<td>3x</td>
<td>sex(6)</td>
<td>KF671985-KF671986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*6[56]*.

[46]*.

*6[56].

*)functional sexual seed, but with aposporous initials (for details see [58]).

*)functional sexual seed, but with low rates of aposporous seeds (for details see [58]).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103003.t001

basal leaf shape. Since the geographical range of R. variabilis spans over that of the sexual species (Figure 1), we hypothesize an apomictic sexual seed, but with low rates of aposporous seeds (for details see [56]). Moreover, it is tetraploid and hexaploid and therefore must have another evolutionary origin. All sexual species of the complex belong to one clade but the internal relationships of this clade are not yet well resolved [57]. However, the other species of this clade (i.e., of section Auricomus) occur in other continents, and therefore interspecific hybridization is unlikely.
Here we aim to shed light on intraspecific ITS nrDNA variability in closely related, but morphologically diversified taxa of the Ranunculus auricomus complex in Central Europe. Therefore, an extended sampling of ITS ribotypes on the intraspecific level will enable us to recover additivity patterns in hybrid genomes to better understand complicated reticulate relationships among sexuals and apomicts. We want to address the following specific questions: (1) Do ITS polymorphisms characterize the sexual species and the hybrids? (2) Are ITS polymorphisms observed in artificial hybrids similar to those in natural tetraploid apomorphic R. variabilis, indicating allopolyploidy origin? (3) Are the ITS data congruent with morphometric data in the putative parent-hybrid relationships? (4) Is secondary structure of the entire ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region informative about species differentiation, concerted evolution and formation of putative non-functional copies?

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

The collections used for this study did not involve endangered or protected species and no specific permissions were required for sampling activities in these locations.

Plant material

DNA samples were collected from R. carpatica, R. cassinifolius, R. notabilis, and R. variabilis (Table 1). Additionally, homoploid and heteroploid experimental hybrids (F₁ offspring) obtained from hand-made crosses of R. carpatica × notabilis (11 individuals), as well as R. cassinifolius × notabilis (14 individuals) were studied. In all crosses, R. notabilis was used as pollen donor; homoploid and heteroploid crosses were performed to reconstruct pathways to apomixis [58]. Ploidy levels of experimentally produced hybrids were assessed in mitotic cell divisions on root tips treated with 2-bromonaphthalene during 6 h, 3 h at RT and 3 h at 5°C, and fixed 24–48 h in 3:1 ethanol:acetic acid. Then, root tips were hydrolyzed 10 min in 1N HCl at 60°C and stained with Schiff’s reagent (C₉H₁₈N₃SO₃). On average, five metaphase cells per plant were examined with a Leica B5500 microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). For provenance, accession codes, ploidy and method of reproduction of the sampled taxa see Table 1 and Figure 1. The DNA sequencing was performed on 68 individuals. Plants were cultivated at the Botanical Garden of the University of Göttingen, Germany. Herbarium material was provided by the herbarium of the University of Vienna, Austria (WU). Four individuals were selected for cloning and 19–20 clones per individual were sequenced, 79 in total. A triploid individual (G11) was selected rather than a diploid one because the maternal species from this cross occurs in the same geographical region as the putative natural hybrid (Figure 1). Cloned R. cassinifolius 8472-1 represents the population used for cloning experiments. Another cloned individual R. notabilis 7223-10 was taken from an isolated population to minimize presumed secondary introgressions from R. variabilis [55]. Additionally, we cloned the individual R. variabilis 8210-5 belonging to a wild population geographically localized between those sexual species described above.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, cloning and sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from silica-dried, herbarium or frozen (−80°C) plant material (stem leaves) using Tissue-Lyser II Qiagen and Invisorb Spin Plant Minikit (Invitek) following the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR of the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region was performed according to [59] using primers 18sF and 26sR (after [60]); the PCR amplicons were cleaned with the Invisorb Spin PCRapid Kit (Invitek, Berlin, Germany). PCR products of a subset of four individuals (Ranunculus notabilis 7223-10, R. cassinifolius 8472-1, R. cassinifolius × notabilis G11, R. variabilis 8210-5; Table 2) were cloned using the TOPO TA cloning kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and the pCR2.1-TOPO vector. Ligation products were integrated into competent cells of Escherichia coli TOP10, as supplied by the manufacturer. Plasmid DNA was extracted and purified with a NucleoSpin-Plasmid kit (Macherey and Nagel, Düren, Germany) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Sequencing reactions were performed with a Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing v3.1 kit (Applied Biosystems, Darmstadt, Germany) and an ABI Prism 3100 (Applied Biosystems) automated sequencer. PCR primers were used for sequencing. Sequences were processed using the sequence analysis program Geneious Pro version 5.6.4 [61] and deposited in GenBank (KF671968- KF672141).

Sequence alignment and data analysis

Sequences comprising ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 were aligned with Clustal W [62] and further adjusted manually in BioEdit 7.0.9.0 [63]. The online ITS2 database (http://its2.bioapps.biozentrum.uni-wuerzburg.de/) was used for annotation of the ITS2 region, whereas the ITS1 and 5.8S were annotated by comparison to published secondary structures (e.g., [64,65]). The 5.8S region of

Table 2. List of cloned individuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen (clone library)</th>
<th>Clone identifiers</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Location/GPS coordinates</th>
<th>Ploidy level</th>
<th>Sexual or apomiotic</th>
<th>GenBank accession No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. notabilis 7223-10</td>
<td>N01-N20</td>
<td>NOT2</td>
<td>AT, Strem/47° 2’ 58.0” N; 16° 26’ 3.7” E</td>
<td>2x</td>
<td>sex⁽²⁾</td>
<td>KF672076-KF672095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. variabilis 8210-5</td>
<td>V01-V19</td>
<td>BUCK1</td>
<td>AT, Spratzauf/47° 35’ 19.5” N 16° 12’ 13.8” E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>apoc⁽⁶⁾</td>
<td>KF672096-KF672114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. cassinifolius 8472-1</td>
<td>S01-S20</td>
<td>YBB1</td>
<td>AT, Schwarzwitz/47° 54’ 48.0” N; 14° 56’ 37.4” E</td>
<td>4x</td>
<td>sex⁽²⁾</td>
<td>KF672036-KF672055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. cassinifolius × notabilis G11</td>
<td>X01-X20</td>
<td>8472×7220</td>
<td>DE, Göttingen, experimental crossing</td>
<td>3x</td>
<td>sex⁽²⁾</td>
<td>KF672056-KF672075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁽²⁾[68], [⁶⁾[66].

⁽²⁾Functional sexual seed, but with low rates of aposporous seeds (for details see [58]).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103003.t002
directly sequenced accessions was completely conserved across the entire dataset, hence we excluded it from subsequent analyses and the final alignment consisted of ITS1+ITS2 regions (501 bp in total). The polymorphic sites were manually determined in BioEdit 7.0.9.0 [63] and visualized separately for ITS1 and ITS2 using an online application to create DNA weblogo (http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/). Polymorphic positions of ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 were annotated using a single secondary structure model of *R. notabilis* (voucher specimen 5613-1) as uniform template (Figure S1). In order to visualize the reticulate relationships among the studied species, NeighborNet analysis was employed in SplitStree4 4.10 [66], applying uncorrected P distances and ambigu-
ITS Polymorphisms in Hybrids of *Ranunculus*

![Diagram showing ITS1 and ITS2 sequences for various *Ranunculus* species and their hybrids.](image)

- **ITS1** and **ITS2** sequences are compared across different species and hybrids.
- Polymorphic sites are highlighted in yellow, indicating variations among the sequences.

### Key Points
- **Ploidy and Reproductive Mode**:
  - Labeled with symbols: **4n** (4x sexual), **2n** (2x sexual), **a** (apomictic).

### Species and Hybrids
- **R. carpaticola**
  - 8483-AS1/-AS5
  - 8483-AS6
  - 8486-2
  - 8486-4

- **R. subarcifolius**
  - 8472-1/-3/-19
  - 8472-10
  - 8473-24
  - 8473-25

- **A7, A25, A35, A17**

- **R. notabilis**
  - 5613-1
  - 5613-2
  - 5615
  - 7223-6
  - 7223-10
  - 7224-15
  - 9609-2
  - 9609-5
  - 9609-9

- **R. carpinicola x notabilis**
  - F2A, F4A, F7A, F10A, F90
  - J10A, J20A, J22A
  - J25A, J33A

- **R. subarcifolius x notabilis**
  - G11, G16A, G19A, G7A
  - G20, G17A, G5, G9A, G18A
  - G2A

- **R. variabilis**
  - 7221-13
  - 7221-6
  - 7221-9
  - 8210-5/-7/-22/-23/-25
  - 8212-23-AS
  - 8214-1, 2
  - 8215-13
  - 8216-26
  - 8216-33
  - 8218-24
  - 8222-22/-25

### Polymorphic Sites
- Sites shared by R. notabilis + R. variabilis (parental species) and synthetic hybrids (apomictic) are highlighted.

---
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Figure 3. Polymorphisms of ITS1-ITS2 within the *Ranunculus auricomus* complex as detected by direct sequencing. A summary of all ITS1-ITS2 polymorphic sites (red and white letters) detected through direct sequencing. The three polymorphic sites which are shared by *R. notabilis*, its synthetic hybrid and the putative natural descendent (i.e., *R. variabilis*) are highlighted by white letters on black background and underlaid by grey stripes. Those shared just by *R. notabilis* and *R. variabilis* are underlaid by grey stripes and the hypothetical shape of mean landmark configuration within each group is referred to as spring leaves. These taxonomically most informative spring basal leaves (comp. [53]) were digitized from the above individuals employed for molecular investigation (or identical to polymorphisms already existing in parental genomes (e.g., sites 26 and 97). The putative ancient hybrid *R. notabilis* or identical to polymorphisms already existing in parental genomes (e.g., sites 26 and 97). The putative ancient hybrid *R. notabilis* was rather intermixed than well separated from each other. The genetic similarity between the *auricomus* species was slightly lower (0.995) than between the *cassubicus* species (0.997), and the mean genetic similarity between the both clades was even lower (0.982). The individuals within the *auricomus* clade exhibited a higher variability, consisting of several splits containing *R. notabilis* (diploid) intermingled with *R. variabilis* (allotriploid). The synthetic di- and triploid hybrids generally clustered in an intermediate position between their parents, i.e., between the *auricomus* and the *cassubicus* clusters. Although originating from different maternal progenitors, all synthetic F1 hybrids were intermixed, forming a cluster of low internal heterogeneity (with the exception of two triploids (both from “H” population; Table 1), one of which grouped within the *auricomus*, another in the *cassubicus* clade). In total, out of 501 nucleotide positions, 34 were polymorphic in the directly sequenced ITS1-ITS2 accesses (Figure 3). All 14 polymorphic sites detected in the ITS1-ITS2 of experimental hybrids were additive, either presenting a combination of maternal (*R. carpatica* or *R. cassubicifolius*) and paternal (*R. notabilis*) contributions (e.g., sites 52, 60 and 67), or identical to polymorphisms already existing in parental genomes (e.g., sites 26 and 97). The putative ancient hybrid *Ranunculus variabilis* displayed 15 polymorphic sites, three of which were shared with both di- and triploid synthetic hybrids. Interestingly, the three sexual species exhibited intraspecific polymorphisms, especially *R. notabilis*, which showed nine polymorphisms shared with *R. variabilis*.

Inter- and intraindividual variability of ITS1-ITS2 inferred from cloning

The average genetic identities within clone libraries (= individuals) differed only slightly among the four cloned individuals and ranged from 0.998 (*R. cassubicifolius* 8472-1) to 0.996 (*R. notabilis* 7223-10). Averaged genetic similarities between the cloned individuals were highest between *R. cassubicifolius* and *R. notabilis*.
The most frequent ITS variant of *R. notabilis* includes clones: N03*, N08, N11-N13, N16, N18
The most frequent ITS variant of *R. cassubicifolius* includes clones: S02* and S05-S16, S19, S20, X09, X12, X13, X16, X18, X19, X22

Figure 4. NeighborNet analysis of cloned ITS1-ITS2 variants. Particular clones belong to: *Ranunculus cassubicifolius* ("S"-clones), *R. notabilis* ("N"-clones), their synthetic hybrid ("X"-clones) and the putative hybrid, *R. variabilis* ("V"-clones). The spring leaf silhouettes illustrate the main phenotypic difference between the *auricomus* and the *cassubicus* morphotypes. Italic letters mark clones which exhibit non-compensatory base changes in the ITS1 or ITS2 secondary structures. Bootstrap values are given for the main clusters.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103003.g004

cassubicifolius × notabilis (0.999), and lowest between *R. cassubicifolius* and *R. notabilis* (0.973). Intraindividual ITS1-ITS2 variability and interindividual overlaps were visualized with NeighborNet (Figure 4). The splits diagram pointed out a high degree of intraindividual variability especially in the case of the apomict *R. variabilis*. The majority of *R. variabilis* clones clustered apart from a group of *R. notabilis* clones. However, several *R. variabilis* clones clustered in close vicinity to *R. notabilis* and even *R. cassubicifolius × notabilis* clones, suggesting the existence of similar ribotypes distributed over all three individuals. On the other hand, the *R. cassubicifolius* clones clustered apart from the *R. notabilis*/*R. variabilis* cluster. They formed one cluster together with the vast majority of the *R. cassubicifolius × notabilis* clones. As suggested from the splits graph (Figure 4), the most polymorphic individuals were the hybrids, i.e., *R. variabilis* and *R. cassubicifolius × notabilis*. Cloned ITS1-ITS2 amplicons from the four plant individuals exhibited 63 sites with nucleotide polymorphisms (i.e., 39 in ITS1 and 26 in ITS2, Figure 5), of which 44 were not detected by direct sequencing. Within the entire ITS1-ITS2 region the synthetic and natural hybrid individuals shared three nucleotide polymorphisms (site 33, 431, 569, as marked with an asterisk in Figure 5). Furthermore, other shared nucleotide polymorphisms were detected for *R. notabilis*, its hybrid and *R. variabilis* (site 431) and for *R. cassubicifolius*, its hybrid and *R. variabilis* (site 569) (Figure 5). The ribotype network (Figure 6) points out a remarkable overlap among the 4x *R. cassubicifolius* ribotypes ("S"-clones) and the ribotypes of its hybrid progeny *R. cassubicifolius × notabilis* ("X"-clones). Another prominent feature is the high amount of different ITS1-ITS2 ribotypes of *R. variabilis* ("V"-clones), where 95% of sequenced clones belonged to different ribotypes. None of these numerous ribotypes was completely identical to those from either *R. notabilis* ("N"-clones) or *R. cassubicifolius × notabilis* ("X"-clones).
Phenotypic variability within the “auricomus” morphotype

Both di- and triploid synthetic hybrids (Figure 7a) resembled their (same) paternal parent, R. notabilis, having deeply dissected basal leaves (auricomus type). In contrast, both maternal parents (i.e., R. carpaticola, R. cassubicifolius) exhibited typical cassubicus morphology, characterized by non-dissected basal leaves (Figure 2). The 2D landmark data (Figure 7b) revealed slight differences between R. notabilis, the synthetic hybrids and R. variabilis. The resulting scatter plot (Figure 7c) summarizes two most prominent morphological trends within the dataset of 95 leaves. The main morphological trend (1st relative warp, RW1) describes variance at the leaf basis and accounts for 55.80% of the total morphological variability. This major morphological trend appears to reflect leaf size and does not separate the three groups. Only the second morphological trend (2nd relative warp, RW2), describing a gradient from robust coarsely dissected to finely dissected forms, slightly differentiated R. variabilis and the synthetic hybrids, assigning R. notabilis an intermediate position in between. The mean phenetic distance (quantified as Procrustes...
distance between two group centroids) was nearly the same between *R. notabilis* and *R. variabilis* (0.0536) as between *R. notabilis* and its synthetic hybrids (0.0738). The distance between *R. variabilis* and the synthetic hybrids accounted for nearly as twice as much (0.1223). This was the sole case for which the mean morphological difference (based on the scores on 12 relative warps)

**Figure 6. Ribotype network representing all cloned ITS1+ITS2 variants.** Particular clones belong to: *R. cassubicifolius* ("S"-clones), *R. notabilis* ("N"-clones), *R. cassubicifolius × notabilis* synthetic hybrid ("X"-clones) and *R. variabilis* ("V"-clones). The spring leaf silhouettes illustrate the main phenotypic difference between the *auricomus* and *cassubicus* morphotypes. Italic letters mark clones which exhibit non-compensatory base changes in the ITS1 or ITS2 secondary structures.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103003.g006
was statistically significant. Figure 7d visualizes the differences among the mean shapes of *R. variabilis*, *R. notabilis* and the experimental hybrids, illustrating the variation in the angle between the upper and lower part of the basal lateral lobes.

**ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 polymorphisms in the secondary structure models**

The *Ranunculus notabilis* minimum energy ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 secondary structure model (Figure S1) revealed 58% of the 241 nucleotides involved in pairings in ITS1 and 72% of the 212 nucleotides in ITS2. In all directly sequenced individuals and clones, the conserved ITS1 angiosperm motif 5′-GGCRY-(4–7)n/GVYGCAGCA-3′ of [82] appeared as 5′-GGCGC-GAUYG/GCGUCAAGCA-3′ in *Ranunculus* and contained no nucleotide substitutions. From the three 5.8S motifs that are also conserved across angiosperms, only one (M2) exhibited nucleotide substitutions (Figure 8), detected in three cloned amplicons. The conserved motif M3 (5′-TGGCAAYGCA-3′) as described by [40], appeared as 5′-TGGCAACGCA-3′. In order to highlight and summarize the polymorphic sites detected by direct sequencing and cloning, they were mapped onto secondary structure models of 5.8S, ITS1 and ITS2 rRNA transcripts reconstructed for *Ranunculus notabilis* 5613-1 (Figure 8a, 9, 10). In the ITS1 secondary structure we identified six hairpins (I-VI, Figure 9), in the ITS2 four hairpins (I-IV, Figure 10). Those nucleotide substitutions which affect only one strand of the double-stranded helical regions are referred to as hemi-compensatory base changes (hCBC). Considering the directly sequenced ITS1-ITS2 accessions, out of the total number of 34 polymorphic sites, 12 correspond to hemi-compensatory base changes and six base changes are not compensatory. The cloned amplicons yielded a total of 65 polymorphic sites in ITS1-ITS2. The additional DNA weblogs in Figures 9 and 10 illustrate all possible variants for each particular polymorphic site (as detected by direct sequencing), and help to recognize non-compensatory (i.e., altering the secondary structure by missing pairing within any of the helical regions) vs. compensatory polymorphisms. Within the highly conserved 5.8S region, 13 sequences exhibited polymorphisms in single clones (Figure 8b) and might therefore represent putative non-functional ITS copies or cloning/PCR artifacts.

**Discussion**

Despite the tremendous popularity of the ITS nrDNA marker in angiosperm systematics, its variability on intraspecific or even on intraindividual level is still poorly known. The occurrence of ITS in multiple copies within a single genome requires more comprehensive sampling approaches to reconstruct reticulate phylogenies. Moreover, recovery of the whole range of individual variation can facilitate addressing questions regarding species evolutionary history. Here we contribute with the first detailed insight into the intraspecific ITS diversity of the *Ranunculus auricomus* species complex. Similar amount of recovered polymorphisms across both ITS1 (19%) and ITS2 (14%) regions was found in other polyploid complexes (e.g., [31,83]).

![Figure 8. 5.8S secondary structure model for Ranunculus notabilis 5613-1. (A) The partial 5.8S secondary structure model comprising conserved sequence regions (M1-M3), conserved helices (B5-B8) and highlighted sites affected by nucleotide substitutions (for the whole 5.8S secondary structure see Figure S1). (B) A summary Table of 5.8S nucleotide polymorphisms detected in clones.](https://www.plosone.org/doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0103003.g008)
ITS Polymorphisms in Hybrids of *Ranunculus*

ITS1 polymorphisms in the studied taxa detected by:
- direct sequencing - 9/48
- direct sequencing and cloning - 14/48
- cloning - 25/48

ITS1 single-strand base changes within helices:
- non-compensatory - 3, 9, 49, 75, 90, 109, 111, 216

**ITS 1**

*Ranunculus notabilis* (5613-1)

ITS1 nucleotide polymorphisms detected by direct sequencing
Nonetheless, the original hybridization event in nature could have been another reason why the putative natural hybrid, the maternal dominance being attenuated after the event. Other authors have reported on a deviation from parental additivity after hybridization, the maternal progenitor rather than to the triploids which were the closest parent, or also concerted evolution of ITS copies in natural allopolyploidization events could have arisen from a higher number of molecular variation introduced by the tetraploid parent. Other authors [58,84] reported on a deviation from parental additivity after hybridization, the maternal dominance being attenuated after polyploidization as well. This uneven parental genome contribution may be another reason why the putative natural hybrid, R. variabilis, does not match exactly the synthetic hybrids in the ribotype analysis, but appears to be more similar to R. notabilis. Nonetheless, the original hybridization event in nature could have happened with equal genome proportions between diploid R. cassinubicifolius, which still occurs in the sympatric area with R. variabilis in one isolated population at the Austrian/Hungarian border [35]; Figure 1), and diploid R. notabilis. After hybrid origin, the early hybrid generations were probably sexual or only facultative apomictic, as in our experimental crosses [58]. Backcrossing of the first hybrid generations to the geographically closer parent, R. notabilis, or also concerted evolution of ITS copies in the first hybrid generations could have resulted in a higher genetic similarity of R. variabilis to R. notabilis.

In contrast to other studies, referring to hybrids as either intermediate phenotypes or mosaics of their parents [3,46,36,37], our cloned ITS data underlined the remarkable incongruence between the genotypes and leaf morphology of the Ranunculus cassinubicifolius × notabilis triploid hybrid. While including two genome copies from the maternal parent, the hybrid retained the clearly distinct paternal-like leaf morphology. Noack [88] described pentaploid hybrids that were more similar to the paternal progenitor rather than to the triploids which were morphologically intermediate. Further evidence against simple intermediacy was provided in [89] on Sorbus hybrid microspecies, observing leaves of mosaic, intermediate or parent-like features.

As already discussed by [29,85], hybrid progeny in R. auricomus exhibits a continuous range of morphotypes ranging from extreme forms over intermediates to those completely identical with one of their parents. In the case of our synthetic hybrids, the observed parental-like leaf phenotype may also have an epigenetic basis. Results from our synthetic hybrids show that the parentage and evolutionary origin cannot be readily predicted from morphological data, while ITS polymorphisms reflect the genome additivity of the parental species.

ITS Polymorphism, Reticulate Evolution and Mutation Accumulation in the R. auricomus Complex

Compared to allozyme genetic identity within R. notabilis (0.965) and R. variabilis (0.940) assessed by [55], the ITS1-ITS2 cDNA differentiation was in both cases considerably lower in these species (R. notabilis: 0.996, R. variabilis: 0.989). Although there was no particular ribotype shared between R. notabilis and R. variabilis, they clustered together. Liao et al. [90] recovered ten ITS polymorphisms in allotetraploid R. cantoniensis, a similar amount compared to our 13 polymorphisms detected in the allotetraploid R. variabilis. Intraindividual variability in ITS nrDNA can be maintained in apomictic hybrid species, as documented already by [30] in Amelanchier. Since apomictic reproduction generally results in seed formation without meiosis and syngamy, the homogenizing effects on ITS copies and concerted evolution are lacking. Hence, agamic lineages can preserve ITS polymorphisms from hybrid origin and can accumulate mutations independently in the polyploid genome.

This so-called Meselson effect was in fact observed in hexaploid hybrids of the R. auricomus complex via large scale Illumina RNA sequencing [91]. Particularly in the case of allotetraploid R. variabilis, the observed amount of ITS polymorphisms may be indicative for lack of concerted evolution and post-origin mutation accumulation, a phenomenon frequently observed in apomictic plant polyploid species complexes [21,30].

Other than in the case of functional ITS copies, other authors [37] found most of the non-functional and chimeric ITS copies in a few million years old clade within Geurre. We detected the largest number of putative non-functional copies (which contained polymorphisms in 5.8S region) in R. notabilis (20% of the clones) and in R. variabilis (16% of the clones). However, two polymorphisms in 5.8S were detected in the synthetic hybrid as well (10% of the clones). The ancient progenitor-derivative relationship between R. notabilis and R. variabilis, as proposed already by [55] based on allozyme data, was further supported by the observed overlap in 10 shared polymorphic sites as inferred from direct sequencing. The high intraindividual ITS polymorphism in R. notabilis individuals is unusual for a sexual species. Regarding the assessed split between R. notabilis and R. cassinubicifolius about 0.9 Ma ago [54], such a high amount of ITS polymorphism is not expected. The most plausible explanation is that R. variabilis has introgressed into R. notabilis in early sexual hybrid generations, or also recently via backcrossing as the pollen donor, which is reasonable from the overlap of distribution areas (Figure 1) and the co-occurrence of the two taxa in some of the sites [55].

Secondary Structure, Compensatory Base Changes and Non-Functional ITS Copies

The amount of detected nucleotide pairings in RNA transcripts in the Ranunculus auricomus complex was higher compared to the observations by [65] on Asteraceae suggesting at least 20% of
ITS2 polymorphisms in the studied taxa detected by:

direct sequencing - 4/30

direct sequencing and cloning - 7/30

cloning - 19/30

ITS2 single-strand base changes within helices:

compensatory - 421, 428, 431, 488, 514, 548, 557, 571, 580, 599, 600

non-compensatory - 455, 519, 569, 576, 577, 593, 601

ITS2 nucleotide polymorphisms detected by direct sequencing
ITS1 and 38% of ITS2. Nevertheless, neither among the sexual species nor between them and the apomict *R. variabilis* did we recover any CBCs. The importance of these structural features for species concepts is still under debate [33,34]. The strongly reduced fertility of our synthetic hybrids [92] suggests that their sexual parents are distinct biological species. Hence, the relevance of CBCs for delimitation of species, and for reconstructing reticulate evolution and interspecific hybridization remains unclear. However, the occurrence of the numerous compensatory single-strand nucleotide polymorphisms (i.e., hemi-CBCs) might be a hint for an ongoing process resulting in a complete CBC during further divergence of taxa. More than a half of the hemi-compensatory base changes in ITS1-ITS2 were present exclusively in the cloned amplicons. A suspected artificial nature can be excluded because the observed substitutions retain the nucleotide bonds and the secondary structures. In contrast, the majority of non-compensatory substitutions were present in single clones only and hence, may represent PCR artifacts. Furthermore, the secondary structure analysis of the 5.8S region indicated no substitutions in the directly sequenced amplicons, but numerous substitutions in single clones. Sixteen percent of the analyzed clones showed polymorphisms in the 5.8S, belonging to 15 different variants, an observation close to [41] from *Mammillaria*. In contrast to the mutations present in the DNA template, the nucleotide substitutions in otherwise conserved 5.8S might have been introduced during PCR reaction and *E. coli* cell division [93–95]. Nevertheless, Záveská and collaborators [21] identified in *Taranacium* considerable polymorphisms in 5.8S (3.7%), considering all these ITS copies to be functional with regard to their secondary structures. Similarly, we neither observed structural changes within 5.8S introduced by the substitutions nor did we recover any recombinant/chimeric sequences through recombination detection algorithms or via NeighborNet analysis. Additionally, the patterns of methylation-induced substitutions or GC content variation (Figure S2) both seem to be insufficiently informative to separate between functional and non-functional/artificial ITS copies. Therefore, we suggest that the constraint of the secondary structure preservation is a useful tool for the DNA data quality assessment in cloned amplicons.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 secondary structure model for *Ranunculus notabilis* 5613-1. The secondary structure model for *R. notabilis* 5613-1 served as a template to locate all ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 polymorphisms detected within this study. (EPS)

**Figure S2** Scatter plot of GC content of ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 sequence subregions. 3D scatter plot of GC contents for all 79 sequenced clones and four directly sequenced individuals lacking ambiguous polymorphic sites. Underlined letters indicate clones that contained substitutions in the 5.8S region and italic letters mark clones which exhibited non-compensatory base changes in either ITS1 or ITS2 secondary structures. (EPS)
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